

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FIL.	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,042 10/15/2003		0/15/2003	Eckart Op Den Camp	1-24802	5006	
4859	7590	07/16/2004		EXAMINER		
		ANSKI & TODD, ZA FOURTH FLO	NICHOLSON, ERIC K			
	ER STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TOLEDO	, OH 43604-	1619		3679		
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

į		Application No.	Applicant(s)	į,
	055	10/686,042	KYOSTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Eric K Nicholson	3679	MMI
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
A SHTHE - External control of the co	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repropersion of the provision of the provisio	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI g date of this communication, even if time action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timels 6 from the mailing date of this concentration (35 U.S.C. § 133). 1y filed, may reduce any 6, prosecution as to the	ommunication.
8) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d). O -152 .
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ <i>(</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been reco u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	Stage
Attachment	, (s)			
) 🔯 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the clamping tool being of two parts joined together as claimed in claim 7, the spreading tool being of two parts joined together as claimed in claim 8 and the monitoring of the stroke of the spreading tool as claimed in claim 11 must be shown or the feature cancelled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections -35 USC § 112

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 6, lines 9-10, "the holding section" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 3,826,523 to Eschbaugh. The Eschbaugh connection includes all the features of the present invention disclosing pipe 11, a holding plate 21 and a connection piece 10, the pipe is provided with a collar 13 which serves as an abutment for the holding plate at 24 and the connection piece is provided with a groove having a shoulder 23 into which the holding plate portion 28 engages. As to claim 2 the connection piece is provided with a mounting 17 for a seal 18 and the seal 18 engages on the collar 13 on the opposite side from the holding plate as is shown in fig. 1. As to claim 3, the collar 13 is formed by a bulged region of the pipe 11, as clearly shown by fig. 11. As to claim 4, the holding plate 21 has an abutment section 24running radially to the longitudinal axis of the pipe (see fig. 1) which lies against the collar 13, and a conical holding section 28, which extends starting from the abutment section and engages into the shoulder 23 of the groove.

500. 一块字类 29. 100 克 (M) [編] 基确选的数据 (编点)。

As to claim 5 the connection piece 10 is provided with a contact surface 35 for a spreading tool (column 3, lines 25-30) which can engage on the holding plate 21.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 3,826,523 to Eschbaugh in view of U.S. patent 3,521,912 to

The second of th

Maurer and U.S. patent 5,848,616 to Vogel et al.. As noted above the Eschbaugh device provides a pipe 11 having a collar 13, a holding plate 21 and a connection piece 10 having a groove at 23 formed therein. The holding plate is pushed onto the pipe so that is lies against the collar (column 2, lines 25-27), the pipe is pressed into the connection piece 10 and the holding plate includes a portion that is widened to engage into the groove of the connecting piece to hold the pipe into the connecting piece. However, Eschbaugh does not disclose using a clamping tool to press the pipe into the connection piece nor a spreading tool to widen the holding piece into the groove of the connection piece. Maurer and Vogel et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to provide such pressing and spreading tools to aid in the assembly and ensure proper connection of a holding piece to a groove in a connecting piece. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the connection of Eschbaugh with a pressing tool such as tool 10 as taught by Maurer and a spreading tool such as taught by Vogel et al in column 2, lines 43-50, in order to provide a more secure coupling for the inserted pipe due to increased compressibility provided by the tools to ensure a tight and proper fitment between the pipe and the connection member. As to claim 9, see seal 18 of Eschbaugh which inherently must be in place on the pipe prior to the holding plate portion engaging in the groove of the

is a divide AS ones is the Asian while diff

connection piece. As to claim 10,the spreading tool must inherently be provided with a face which contacts a surface of the connection piece in order to properly function and perform..

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7,8 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ekn W@H 4/20/04

> Eric K. Nicholson Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600